

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS
Washington, D.C. 20231
www.usplo.gov

Tarra to Author		FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
APPLICATION NO. 09/762,652	FILING DATE		6114	8516
	04/27/2001	Andrew Dodd		
7:	590 09/30/2002		EXAMINER	
Arlene J Powe	ers		ROSE, ROBERT A	
Samuels Gauth	treet Suite 3300		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
Boston, MA)2110		3723	<u>g</u>
			DATE MAILED: 09/30/200)2

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.





Applicant(s)

Examiner

Art Unit Robert Rose

Dodd e	t al
it 3723	

3723

Office Action Summary -- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --

A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE _____ MONTH(S) FROM

THE N - Extensi mailing - If the F - If NO F - Failure	PRTENED STATUTORY COMMUNICA AAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICA ons of time may be available under the provisions of 37 date of this communication. Period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) depend for reply specified above, the maximum statute to reply within the set or extended period for reply will to reply within the set or extended period for reply will to reply within the set or extended period for reply will to reply within the set or extended period for reply will to reply within the set or extended period for reply will to reply within the set or extended period for reply will to reply within the set or extended period for reply will to reply within the set or extended period for reply will to reply within the set or extended period for reply will to reply within the set or extended period for reply will to reply within the set or extended period for reply will to reply within the set or extended period for reply will to reply within the set or extended period for reply will to reply within the set or extended period for reply will to reply within the set or extended period for reply will to reply within the set or extended period for reply will to reply within the set or extended period for reply will to reply within the set or extended period for reply will to reply within the set or extended period for reply will to reply within the set or extended period for reply will to reply within the set or extended period for reply will to reply within the set or extended period for reply will be a set or extended period for reply will be a set or extended period for reply will be a set or extended period for reply will be a set or extended period for reply will be a set or extended period for reply will be a set or extended period for reply will be a set or extended period for reply will be a set or extended period for reply will be a set or extended period for reply will be a set or extended period for reply will be a set or extended period for reply will be a set or extended p	lays, a reply within the statutory more period will apply and will expire lay statute, cause the application rethe mailing date of this community.	ninimum of thirty (30) days will be cor 9 SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing do to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. cation, even if timely filed, may reduc	е апу				
Status		d on <u>3-20-01, 4-27-01</u>						
1)[X								
2a) 🗆	This action is FINAL . Since this application is in condition closed in accordance with the practice.	for allowance except f	or formal matters, prosection 1935 C.D. 11; 453 C).G. 213.				
3)□	Since this application is in condition	tice under Ex parte Qua	lyle, 1930 o.z.	ii dan				
\	Closed III accounts		1-1-0	pending in the application.				
Dispo	closed in accordance with the practisition of Claims Claim(s) 1-4 and 11-16		ic/are	e withdrawn from consideration.				
4)1)	sition of Claims Claim(s) <u>1-4 and 11-16</u> 4a) Of the above, claim(s)		107011	is/are allowed.				
1	4a) Of the above, claim(s)			to a spineted				
5)[Claim(s)			is/are rejected.				
6)	X) Claim(s) <u>1-4 and 11-16</u>			is/are objected to.				
7)			are subject to restri	iction and/or election requirement				
//	Claims							
(8)	Claims							
Apı	plication Papers	by the Examiner.	objec	ted to by the Examiner.				
9	plication Papers The specification is objected to be The drawing(s) filed on Applicant may not request that a	is/are a) L	accepted of Sylvance.	See 37 CFR 1.85(a).				
10) The drawing(s) filed on	ny objection to the drawi	ing(s) be held in abeyanous	ed b) disapproved by the Examiner.				
1	Applicant may not request the	en doo	is: a) approve					
1	The drawing(s) filed on	are required in reply to the	nis Office action.					
1	If approved, corrected drawings	and to by the Examiner	,					
1	on is onless	Ten ro all .		o(a) (d) or (f).				
, p	2) The oath or declaration is object from the control of the contr	20 a claim for foreign prior	ity under 35 U.S.C. § 118	9(a)-(a) 0: (v)				
',	Acknowledgement is made of	a Claim for total	•					
1								
1	have been received in the phone, and have been received in the							
\	 Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No							
	application from	action for a list of the	certified copies not receive	110(0)				
to a the attached detailed Office action 1								
1	14) Acknowledgement is made of a claim to 121.							
1	14) Acknowledgement is made of a claim for domestic priority circles. 14) Acknowledgement is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121. 15) Acknowledgement is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121.							
	15)☐ Acknowledgement is made to	or a cium is.						
1	aahmont(s)		4) Interview Summary (PTO-413	olication (PTO-152)				
1	Cited (P10-892)	ious (PTO-948)	5) Notice of Informal Patent Ap	ρικάτι τ				
1	. Octant Drawing Nov	449) Paper No(9)	6) Other:					
	2) Notice of Draftsperson's Fatern Drams 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-14)			Part of Paper No. 8				

Application/Control Number: 09/762652 Page 2

Art Unit: 3723

DETAILED ACTION

- 1. Receipt is acknowledged of Applicant's Preliminary Amendment, filed April 27, 2001.
- 2. Receipt is acknowledged of Applicant's Prior Art Statement, filed March 20, 2001.
- 3. Receipt is acknowledged of Applicant's Foreign Priority Papers, filed May 14, 2001.
- 4. Claims 5-9 have been canceled.
- 5. Claims 1-4, and 11-16 are presented for examination.
- 6. Claims 1-4, and 11-16 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention. In claims 1-4, line 1 Applicant should recite the claim in terms of a method or process if that is what is intended. In claim 1, line 5 the use of the alternative expression "and/or" is deemed to render the scope of the claims indefinite. In claim 12, line 2 it is unclear whether the recited expression "is improved from 0.13um to around 0.07 um" is intended to recite a range of improvement for the final product after treatment, or whether the "0.13um" is intended to refer to the surface roughness prior to treatment. Further, in claim 12 it is not clear what parameter is being measured.
- 7. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless --

(a) the invention was known or used by others in this country, or patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country, before the invention thereof by the applicant for a patent.

Page 3

Application/Control Number: 09/762652

Art Unit: 3723

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

- 8. Claims 1-2, 11-12, and 15-16 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(a) as being clearly anticipated by Hashimoto. Hashimoto discloses a method of producing a surface finish on bearing surfaces within the recited range by immersion grinding. Processing time is dependent upon the particular workpiece but is given as 45 minutes for one example(column 6, lines 30-34).
- 9. Claims 1-2, 4, 11, and 14-16 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being clearly anticipated by Wood(British no. 227277). Wood discloses an immersion grinding process for finishing bearing surfaces comprising all of the subject matter set forth in applicant's claims above.
- 10. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 11. Claim 13 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over either Hashimoto or Wood. The compressive strength increase would have been an expected result of performing the method of either Hashimoto or Wood. The design range of compressive strength imparted to the bearing surface would have been an obvious matter of design choice depending upon the conditions under which the bearing is to be used.

Application/Control Number: 09/762652

Page 4

Art Unit: 3723

12. Claim 3 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Hashimoto or Wood in view of Ohno. Ohno disclose a conventional apparatus for finishing workpieces comprising a rotary abrasive media receptacle and a rotary holder for preventing workpieces from contacting each other during immersion machining. To finish the bearing surfaces in a conventional rotary immersion receptacle with rotation of the workpieces within the media, to prevent contact between workpieces would have been obvious in view of Ohno.

13. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. Akamatsu et al is cited of interest to show a roller bearing surface having an isotopic surface roughness achieved by barrel finishing.

14. Any inquiry concerning this communication should be directed to Robert Rose at telephone number (703) 308-1360.

rr

September 23, 2002.

ROBERT A. ROSE PRIMARY EXAMINER ART UNIT 323